# **®-Compact Operators Between Locally Convex**Spaces

N. Faried\*
J. Sarsour\*\*
Z. Safi\*\*\*

### ملخص البحث

#### Abstract

In this work we study some type of Precompact sets (which called  $\mathbb{B}$ -compact) whose sequences of the nth diameters converge to zero in different rates (rapidly, radically,...) and we define the relation  $c_{\mathbb{B}}$  where  $(E,F)\in c_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if every continuous linear operator T from E into F is  $\mathbb{B}$ -compact and we prove that every continuous linear operator from a normed space E into a locally convex space F is  $\mathbb{B}$ -compact if and only if every bounded subset of F is  $\mathbb{B}$ -compact.

<sup>\*</sup> Prof. Dr. of Mathematics, Faculty of Since, Department of Mathematics, Ain Shams University, Egypt

<sup>\*\*</sup> Associat Professor of Mathematics, Faculty of Since, Department of Mathematics, Islamic University, Gaza.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> College of Education, Gaza.

# 1. ®-Compact Sets.

Introduction. For any normed space E, the bounded subset D of E is called precompact if and only if

$$\left(\delta_n(D,B_E)\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}\in c_0, \tag{1}$$

here  $B_E$  is the closed unit ball in E.

In [1] Astala and Ramanvjan define (s)-nuclear (resp.  $\Lambda(\alpha)$ -nuclear) sets in normed space by replacing  $c_0$  in (1) by the space (s) of rapidly decreasing sequences (resp. the power series space  $\Lambda(\alpha)$ ).

In [2] Faried, and Ramadan define  $\otimes$ -compact sets in normed space by replacing  $c_0$  in (1) by any sequence ideal.

In [8] Zahriuita studies the relation R between locally convex spaces, where  $(E,F) \in R$  if and only if every continuous linear operator  $T: E \to F$  is compact.

In this paper we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $(E,F) \in C_{\mathbb{B}}$ .

By  $c_0$  we denote the space of all sequences of real numbers that converge to zero.

By (S), we denote the space of all rapidly decreasing sequences of real numbers given by:

$$(S) = \left\{ (\lambda_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : \sup_{n} n^{\alpha} |\lambda_n| < \infty \ \forall \alpha > 0 \right\}.$$

By  $\Lambda(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $0 < \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 \le \ldots$ ;  $\alpha_{2n} \le c \alpha_n$ , for some c > 0, we denote the power series space of all sequences of real numbers given by:

$$\Lambda(\alpha) = \left\{ (\lambda_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : \sup_{n} R^{\alpha_n} |\lambda_n| < \infty \ \forall R > 0 \right\}.$$

By (R), we denote the space of all radical sequences of real numbers given by:

$$(R) = \left\{ (\lambda_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : \lim_{n} \sqrt[n]{|\lambda_n|} = 0 \right\}$$

By [x] we mean the integer part of real number x such that  $[x] = \alpha$  if  $x = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $0 \le \beta < 1$ .

Remark: Each radical sequence is rapidly decreasing and the converse is not necessarily true.

In fact, if we take  $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_n \lambda_n n^{\alpha} = \lim_n \frac{n^{\alpha}}{2^n} = 0$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . But since  $\sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{2^n}} = \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in (S) \setminus (R)$  (see [2], proposition 1).

**Definition 1.1.** [2] A sequence ideal ® on a scalar field is a subset of the space  $l_{\infty}$  (the space of all bounded sequences of real numbers) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $e_i = (0,0,...,1,...)$  the one in the ith place.
- (ii) If  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $x_1 + x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(iii) If  $y \in l_{\infty}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(iv) If the sequence 
$$x = (x_0, x_1, ...) \in \mathbb{R}$$
, then  $(x_{[\frac{n}{2}]})_{n=0}^{\infty} = (x_0, x_0, x_1, x_1, ...) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Note that the sequence spaces  $c_0$ , (S), (R) and  $\Lambda(\alpha)$  are examples of sequence ideals (see[2], page 9).

**Definition 1.2.** Let A, D be absolutely convex sets in a topological vector space E such that D absorbs A, i.e. there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $A \subset \rho D$  for all  $\rho > \lambda$ . For a subspace F of E we define:

$$\delta(A,D;F) = \inf\{r > 0 : A \subset rD + F\}.$$

the nth diameter of A with respect to D is defined as

$$\delta_n(A,D) = \inf \{ \delta(A,D;F) : \dim(F) \le n \}, \quad n = 0,1,2,....$$

it satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\delta_{\theta}(A, D) \ge \delta_{1}(A, D) \ge \dots \ge \delta_{n}(A, D) \dots \ge 0.$
- (2)  $\delta_n(A, D) = 0$  if and only if A is contained in a linear subspace of E of dimension at most n.
- (3) If A is a bounded subset of E, then A is precompact if and only if

$$\lim_{n} (\delta_{n}(A, U)) = 0 \quad \forall U \in \mu(E),$$

where  $\mu(E)$  is a local base of zero in E.

(4) If  $T: E \to F$  is a linear operator, then

$$\delta_n(T(A), T(D)) \le \delta_n(A, D).$$

- (5) If  $A_1 \subset A$  and  $D \subset D_1$ , then  $\delta_n(A_1, D_1) \leq \delta_n(A, D)$ .
- (6) If  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , then  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \delta_n(A, D) = \delta_n(\alpha A, \beta D)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** For a sequence ideal  $\mathbb{B} \subset c_0$ , a subset D of a locally convex space E is called  $\mathbb{B}$ -compact if and only if  $(\delta_n(D,U))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{B}$  for all  $U \in \mu(E)$ .

Note that any bounded subset D of a normed space E is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact if and only if  $(\delta_n(D, B_E))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $B_E$  is the closed unit ball in E.

For examples:

(1) If  $\dim(E) = n$ , then every bounded subset of E is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact.

(2) If 
$$D = \left\{ x = (x_n) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| 2^n \le 1 \right\}$$
 and  $B = \left\{ x = (x_n) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| n \le 1 \right\}$ 

are two subsets of  $l_1$ , then according to [5], 9.1.3 we have  $\delta_n(D, B_{l_1}) = \frac{1}{2^n}$  and  $\delta_n(B, B_{l_1}) = \frac{1}{n}$ , where  $B_{l_1}$  is the closed unit ball in  $l_1$ . Hence D is precompact and rapidly-compact, but not radically compact and B is precompact but not rapidly compact.

**Proposition 1.4.** If  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact subsets of locally convex space E, then  $D_1 + D_2$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact.

**Proof.** Since  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact sets, then

$$(\delta_n(D_1,U))_{n=0}^\infty\in\mathbb{R}\ \ \text{and}\ \ \ (\delta_n(D_2,U))_{n=0}^\infty\in\mathbb{R}\ \ \forall U\in\mu(E),$$
 hence

$$(\delta_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}(D_1,U))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } (\delta_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}(D_2,U))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall U \in \mu(E).$$

If  $W \in \mu(E)$ , then there exists a neighborhood U of zero in F such that  $U+U \subset W$ . According to the definitions of  $\delta_n(D_1,U)$  and  $\delta_m(D_2,U)$  we have for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  that there exist subspaces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of F with  $\dim(F_1) \le n$  and  $\dim(F_2) \le m$  such that

$$D_{1} \subset (\delta_{n}(D_{1}, U) + \varepsilon)U + F_{1},$$

$$D_{2} \subset (\delta_{m}(D_{2}, U) + \varepsilon)U + F_{2}.$$

Hence we have

$$D_1 + D_2 \subset (\max(\delta_n(D_1, U), \delta_m(D_2, U)) + \varepsilon)(U + U) + (F_1 + F_2) \subset (\max(\delta_n(D_1, U), \delta_m(D_2, U)) + \varepsilon)W + (F_1 + F_2).$$

Since  $\dim(F_1 + F_2) \le n + m$ , then

$$\delta_{n+m}(D_1+D_2,W) \leq (\max(\delta_n(D_1,U),\delta_m(D_2,U)) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we get

$$\delta_{n+m}(D_1 + D_2, W) \le \max(\delta_n(D_1, U), \delta_m(D_2, U)).$$

Hence for all  $W \in \mu(E)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_r((D_1+D_2),W) &\leq \max(\delta_{\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil}(D_1,U), \delta_{\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil}(D_2,U)) \leq \\ \delta_{\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil}(D_1,U) &+ \delta_{\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil}(D_2,U), \ r \in N. \end{split}$$

and therefore  $(\delta_r(D_1 + D_2), W))_{r=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ , thus  $D_1 + D_2$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ .

# 2. ®-Compact operators.

**Definition 2.1.** For a sequence ideal  $\mathbb{R} \subset c_0$  and two locally convex spaces E and F, an operator T from E into F is called  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact operator if and only if there exists a neighborhood V of zero in E such that  $(\delta_n(T(V), U))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $U \in \mu(F)$ .

#### Definition 2.2.

We say that an order pair of locally convex spaces (E,F) satisfies the condition  $c_{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}$  (and we write  $(E,F) \in c_{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}$ ) if every continuous linear operator  $T:E \to F$  is  $\textcircled{\tiny{0}}$ -compact.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $E_j$ , j = 1,2,...,n, F be locally convex spaces and  $E = \prod_{i=1}^{n} E_j$ . Then

- (i) from  $(E_j, F) \in c_{\circledast}$ , j = 1, 2, ..., n, it follows that  $(E, F) \in c_{\circledast}$ ,
- (ii) from  $(F, E_j) \in c_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , j = 1, 2, ..., n, it follows that  $(F, E) \in c_{\mathfrak{B}}$ .

## Proof.

(i) Let  $T: E = \prod_{j=1}^n E_j \to F$  be an arbitrary continuous linear operator. Then the operator  $H_j: E_j \to F$  defined by  $H_j(x_j) = T(0,...,x_j,...,0)$  is continuous linear operator. Since  $(E_j,F) \in c_{\circledast}$ , then  $H_j$  is  ${\Re}$ -compact operator, hence there exists a neighborhood  $U_j$  of zero in  $E_j$  such that  $H_j(U_j)$  is  ${\Re}$ -compact set in F. Since  $T(U_1 \times ... \times U_n) = T(U_1 \times \{0_{E_2}\} \times ... \times \{0_{E_n}\}) + ... + T(\{0_{E_1}\} \times ... \times \{0_{E_{n-1}}\} \times U_n) = H_1(U_1) + ... + H_n(U_n)$ , then by proposition (1.4) we have T is  ${\Re}$ -compact operator.

(ii) Let  $T: F \to E = \prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  be any arbitrary linear continuous operator. Since the projection map  $P_i: E = \prod_{j=1}^n E_j \to E_i$  is continuous, then  $P_i \circ T$  is a continuous operator from F to  $E_i$ . Since  $(F, E_i) \in \mathbb{C}_{\oplus}$ , it follows that  $P_i \circ T$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact operator, hence there exists a neighborhood U of zero in F such that  $P_i \circ T(U) = W_i$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact set in  $E_i$ . It follows by [5], theorem (2.6) that  $W_1 \times W_2 \times ... \times W_n = T(U)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact set in E, hence T is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact operator.

Definition 2.4. A locally convex space E is said to be of type

(s<sub>®</sub>), if for every neighborhood U of zero in E there exists a neighborhood V of zero in E which is ®-compact with respect to U, (i.e.,

 $(\delta_n(V,W))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$  for all neighborhood of zero  $W \subset U$ ).

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose E is a locally bounded and locally convex space. Then E is a space of Type  $(S_{\mathbb{B}})$  if and only if  $(E,F) \in C_{\mathbb{B}}$  for every normed space F.

**Proof.** Sufficiency. Let  $(E,F) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}$  for every normed space F. Since E is locally bounded and locally convex, then it has a bounded convex neighborhood U of zero such that the collection  $\mu(E) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n}U : n \in N \right\}$  is a local base of zero in E. For

U we can define a semi-norm  $P_U$  such that

$$P_U(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda U\}, \quad (x \in E).$$

We shall associate for U a semi-normed space  $E_U$  which is E with the semi-norm  $P_U$ . Let

$$E_U/N_U = \left\{ \hat{x} = x + N_U : x \in E \right\},\,$$

where  $N_U = \{x \in E : P_U(x) = 0\}$ , be the quotient space. If  $\hat{P}_U(\hat{x}) = P_U(x)$ , then by [7], page 31,  $\hat{P}_U$  is a norm of the quotient space  $E_U/N_U$ , hence  $E_U/N_U$  is a normed space.

Next, the quotient map  $\pi_U: E \to E_U$  defined by

$$\pi_U(x) = x + N_U$$

is continuous. Since  $E_U$  is a normed space, and since  $(E,E_U)\in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , then  $\pi_U$  is  $\mathbb{B}$ -compact operator. Thus, there exists a neighborhood V of zero in E such that  $\pi_U(V)$  is  $\mathbb{B}$ -compact set in  $E_U$ , so  $(\delta_n(\pi_U(V),\pi_U(U))_{n=0}^\infty\in\mathbb{B}$ . By [4], page 209, we have  $\delta_n(V,U)=\delta_n(\pi_U(V),\pi_U(U))$ , hence  $(\delta_n(V,U))_{n=0}^\infty\in\mathbb{B}$ .

Now for every neighborhood H of zero in E, let  $W \subset H$  be any neighborhood of zero in E, since  $\mu(E)$  is a local base of zero in E, then there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $\frac{1}{n}U \subset W$ , it follows that

$$\delta_n(V,W) \leq \delta_n(V,\frac{1}{n}U) \leq n\delta_n(V,U),$$

hence  $(\delta_n(V,W))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ , so V is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact with respect to H, thus E is a space of type  $(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

Necessity. Let E be a space of type  $(S_{\textcircled{R}})$ , F be an arbitrary normed space and  $T: E \to F$  be an arbitrary linear continuous operator. Since F is a normed space, the topology on F defined by the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $B_F = \{x \in F : \|x\| \le 1\}$  be the unit ball in F, which is a neighborhood of zero in F. Since T is continuous,

there exists a neighborhood U of zero in E such that  $T(U) \subset B_F$ . By assumption, E is a space of type  $(S_{\circledast})$ , so there exists a neighborhood

V=V(U) of zero in E, which is ®-compact with respect to U i.e.  $(\delta_n(V,W))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $W \subset U$ . But since  $\delta_n(TV,TW) \leq \delta_n(V,W)$ , we have  $(\delta_n(TV,TW))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since also  $T(W) \subset T(U) \subset B_F$ , then  $\delta_n(TV,B_F) \leq \delta_n(TV,TW)$ . Thus, T is ®-compact operator. So it is proved that  $(E,F) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}$  for every normed space F.

## 3. ®-Montel Spaces

A locally convex space E is called barrelled if every absolutely convex absorbent closed set in E is a neighborhood.

**Definition 3.1** A locally convex space E is called ®-Montel, if it is barrelled and every bounded subset D of E is ®-compact.

Note that every finite dimensional normed space is ®-Montel.

**Proposition 3.2** A necessary and sufficient condition for a locally convex space F to be  $\mathbb{R}$ - Montel is  $(E,F) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}$  for every normed space E.

**Proof.** Sufficiency. Let F be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Montel space, E be a normed space, and  $T: E \to F$  be a continuous linear operator.

Since E is a normed space, then the topology on E defined by the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . If  $B_E = \{x \in E : \|x\| \le 1\}$  is the closed unit ball in E, then T maps the unit ball  $B_E$  in E into a bounded set  $T(B_E)$  in F. Since E is  $\mathbb{R}$ -Montel space,  $T(B_E)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact set in F (because in  $\mathbb{R}$ -Montel spaces bounded sets and  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact sets coincide). Hence, T is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact operator, which shows that  $(E,F) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Necessity, suppose  $(E,F) \in \mathbb{C}_{\circledast}$  for every normed space E. We shall show that every bounded set A in F is  $\circledast$ -compact. Since F is a locally convex space, it has a local base  $\beta$  of zero such that each  $U \in \beta$  is absolutely convex and absorbent. Let  $\beta = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in L\}$ , where L is an index set. Then to each absolutely convex absorbent set  $U_{\lambda}$ , we can define a corresponding semi-norm  $\|.\|_{\lambda}$  such that

$$||y||_{\lambda} = \inf\{\alpha : \alpha > 0, y \in \alpha U_{\lambda}\},$$

which is the Minkowski functional for  $U_{\lambda}$ . Since  $U_{\lambda}$  is a neighborhood of zero, then  $\|...|_{\lambda}$  is continuous. Thus, the set  $Q = \{\|...|_{\lambda} : \lambda \in L\}$  of continuous semi-norms defines the topology  $\tau$  of F. Let  $K = \{V_{\lambda,\varepsilon} : \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in L\}$  where

$$V_{\lambda,\varepsilon} = \left\{ y \in F : \left\| y \right\|_{\lambda} \le \varepsilon \right\} \quad (\varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in L) \,,$$

so a local base  $\mu$  of zero for this topology  $\tau$  is formed by the sets,

$$V = \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_{\lambda_{i}, \varepsilon} \ (V_{\lambda_{i}, \varepsilon} \in K).$$

Now, by the definition of a bounded set, since A is bounded set in F, then  $\forall V \in \mu \quad \exists s = s_V > 0$ , such that  $A \subset s_V V$ . It follows that  $\forall \lambda \in L \ \exists s(\lambda) > 0$  such that

$$A \subset s(\lambda)V_{\lambda,1} = s(\lambda)\{y \in F : ||y||_{\lambda} \le 1\}.$$

If  $y \in A$ , then  $y = s(\lambda)w$ , for some  $w \in V_{\lambda,1}$ . Hence,

 $\|y\|_{\lambda} = s(\lambda) \|w\|_{\lambda} \le s(\lambda)$ , so  $\frac{\|y\|_{\lambda}}{s(\lambda)} \le 1$ . Put  $m(\lambda) = \frac{1}{s(\lambda)} > 0$ , then  $\forall \lambda \in L \ \exists m(\lambda) > 0$  such that

$$p(y) = \sup \{m(\lambda) ||y||_{\lambda} : \lambda \in L\} \le 1 \quad (y \in A);$$

which is a semi-norm on A. If p(y) = 0, then  $m(\lambda) \|y\|_{\lambda} = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in L$ , hence  $\|y\|_{\lambda} = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in L$ . Since Q is a separating family of semi-norms, then y = 0, hence P is a norm. Let  $E = \{y \in F : p(y) < \infty\}$ , then  $E \subset F$  is a normed space. Let  $B_E = \{y \in F : p(y) \le 1\}$  be the unit ball of E, then  $A \subseteq B_E$ . Let the operator T equal to the identity imbedding of E into F. Since for all  $\lambda \in L$  there exists  $c(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)}$  such that

$$||Ty||_{\lambda} = ||y||_{\lambda} \le c(\lambda) p(y),$$

then T is continuous. Thus, since  $(E,F) \in C_{\mathbb{B}}$ , then T is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact operator. Therefore  $B_E = T(B_E)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact set in F. But since  $A \subset B_E$ , then A is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact set in F, hence F is  $\mathbb{R}$ -Montel space.

**Proposition 3.3** If E is a barrelled locally convex space of type  $(S_{\textcircled{\$}})$ , then E is \$-Montel space.

**Proof.** Let A be a bounded set in E, then for each neighborhood U of zero in E there exists  $t_U > 0$  such that  $A \subseteq rU$  for every  $r \ge t_U$ . Since E is a space of type  $(S_{\circledR})$ , there exists a neighborhood V of zero in E such that for all neighborhood  $W \subset U$ , we have

 $(\delta_n(V,W))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ , so there exists  $t_{\nu} > 0$  such that  $A \subseteq sV$  for every  $s \ge t_{\nu}$ . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{s}\delta_n(A,U) \leq \frac{1}{s}\delta_n(A,W) \leq \delta_n(\frac{1}{s}A,W) \leq \delta_n(V,W),$$

hence  $(\delta_n(A,U))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $U \in \mu(E)$ , so A is  $\mathbb{R}$ -compact, thus E is  $\mathbb{R}$ -Montel space.

**Example** (Finite Convex Topology). Any vector space E can be made into a convex space by taking as a base of neighborhoods of the zero the set of all absolutely convex absorbent subsets.

This is the finest convex topology on E. By [6], page 75, every bounded sets in E is finite dimensional, so every bounded sets in E is ®-compact. Hence E is ®-Montel space.

#### References

- [1] Astala, k. and Ramanvjan, M.S. "(s) Nuclear Sets and Operators". Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 127, No. 2, (1987).
- [2] Faried, N. and Ramadan, F. "N-Diameters and Kolmogrove Numbers of Finite Cartesian Product of ®-Compact sets and Direct Sums of Operators." Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, No. 68, (1992), 1-10.
- [3] Faried, N., Sarsour, j. and Safi Z., @-compact sets and operators", al Aqsa. (to appear).
- [4] Jarchow, H. "Locally Convex Spaces." B. G. Teubner Stuttgart, (1981).
- [5] Pietch, A. " Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces", Akademic Verlin, Berlin, (1972).
- [6] Pietch, A. "Operator Ideals", North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, oxford, (1980).
- [7] Rudin, W., "Functional Analysis", McGraw-Hill, New York, (1973).
- [8] Zahriuita, V.P."On The Isomorphism of Cartesian Product of Locally Convex Spaces". Studia Math. 46, (1973), 201-221.