

*



"

(TIMSS)

"

(599)

(TIMSS) ()

(Rumm, 2010)

(0,90)

.(0,87)

(35)

(25)

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of the one parameter model "rasch model" and fitness of data for the model to (TIMSS) test, which measures mathematical skills to the level of eighth grade. The sample was chooses for (599) students from eighth grade at the Directorate of Education in Balqa students Governorate / Jordan after the psychometric properties (validity and reliability) from the (TIMSS) test were created.

To answer the questions of this study the researchers used statistical program (Rumm 2010), The test showed that the results provided were valid and reliable, as the value of reliability coefficient for the test using the coefficient of Cronbach Alpha was (0, 90), while the coefficient of criteria-

_____ *

...

related validity between the degrees of Students (TIMSS Test) and Students marks in mathematics has reached (0, 87).

The results indicated to the suitability of (25) items from the test's original (35) items to the local environment, with appropriate statistical properties, in terms of the item difficulty coefficient.

Keywords: Fitness, Rasch Model, TIMSS Test, Mathematical Skills.

:

(Classical Theory)

Latent Trait Theory

Item Response Theory (IRT)
(LTT)

(Warm, 1978)

(Item Response Theory "IRT")

.(Mislevy & Bock, 1990)

2012

.(Wolfe & Smith,2007)

(Bond & Fox,2007)

) (Mislevy & Bock, 1990)

(

)

.(

()

(Item Banking)

(Item Pool)

:

...

()

(Items Difficulty)
)

(Items Calibration)

(29: 1987

(TIMSS)

(TIMSS)

.

:

()

Timss

:

-1

TIMSS

-2

2012

()

-3

:

()

:

-1

:

:

-2

-

()

-

()

-

...
 :
 :
 TIMSS -1
 TIMSS -2
 :
 :
 / -1
 -2
 TIMSS -3
 :
 : TIMSS
 :TIMSS -1
 :Rasch Model -2

One Parameter Model

(2000)

: -3
 (14)

2012

: (Hambleton, et .al, 1985)
-1

."Item – Freed "
-2

." Sample – Freed "
(Statistic) -3

"Rasch Model" (2000)

:"
" : -

-

...

.(1996)

:
(Hamblen & Traub, 1973)

(Chang, et. al,

2010)

.(Lord, 1971)

(IRT)

(2005)

2012

(376)
Rascal
(55) (62)
(10)
(Koh, et.al 2006)
(ARAT)
(19) (351)
(4) (Mokken)
(Leonidas , et. al 2006)
(11.9) (160) (335)
(21) (12.8) (175)
)
(
(Barbara, et. al, 2006)
(OEE) (SEE)
(166)

...

(Worth , et. al, 2007)

(TBI)

(160) (TBI)

(Win steps, 3.45)

(%31)

(111 52)

(Pallant, et. al, 2007)

(HADS)

Rumm,)

(296)

HADS –)

(2020

(14

(Wis)

(Gill, et. al, 2007)

(48)

(30)

(Wilson, et. al, 2007)

(566)

()

(ESS)

(Peter, et. al, 2007)

2012

(0.84)

(180)

(Chang, et. al, 2010)

(36)

(100)

(100)

(60)

(Edwards& alcock, 2010)

(164)

(11)

(Franchignoni, et. al, 2011)

(DASH)

(283)

...

(koh , 2006)

(Simulation)

:

:

(1)

(292)

(28)

2012/2011

:

.1

13204	7459	5745	
12970	7104	5866	
12406	6509	5897	
38580	21072	17508	

:

2012/2011

TIMSS)

(32)

(5)

(

(70)

(5)

(311)

(599)

2012

(288)

:

:

(TIMSS)

-1

-2

()

.()

-3

()

(10)

(72)

:

-4

(20)

...

(60)

SPSS

Item-Total Correlation

(Thorndike, 1982) (. 30)

(.30)

(32) (. 80)

(35)

(35)

(599)

(50)

:

() (1)

SPSS

(RUMM, 2010)

2012

.

:

: :

: (TIMSS)

-1

-2

(TIMSS)

(599)

.(0.87)

: :

.(0.90)

:

.(RUMM. 2010)

TIMSS

"

"

()

(2)

...

(2)

652.28	1.840	0.096	0.382	1
652.28	1.661	0.094	0.501	2
652.28	1.483	0.094	0.613	3
652.28	1.551	0.096	0.700	4
652.28	0.932	0.096	0.681	5
652.28	1.801	0.094	0.553	6
652.28	1.665	0.095	0.583	7
652.28	2.508	0.094	0.581	8
652.28	0.972	0.097	0.363	9
652.28	1.435	0.097	0.543	10
652.28	2.410	0.096	0.411	11
652.28	1.459	0.096	0.562	12
652.28	2.751	0.095	0.531	13
652.28	0.869	0.095	0.311	14
652.28	1.846	0.122	0.455	15
652.28	1.582	0.096	0.342	16
652.28	1.077	0.095	0.392	17
652.28	1.449	0.097	0.782	18
652.28	0.030	0.097	0.441	19
652.28	1.899	0.100	0.647	20
652.28	2.544	0.094	0.706	21
652.28	1.713	0.095	0.364	22
652.28	2.649	0.097	0.319	23
652.28	2.703	0.096	0.542	24
652.28	2.298	0.099	0.559	25
652.28	1.911	0.096	0.611	26
652.28	1.529	0.095	0.589	27
652.28	0.982	0.095	0.621	28
652.28	0.624	0.094	0.600	29
652.28	0.457	0.096	0.541	30
652.28	2.421	0.099	0.512	31
652.28	2.141	0.095	0.301	32
652.28	0.468	0.095	0.524	33
652.28	0.312	0.097	0.305	34
652.28	0.859	0.096	0.371	35
		0.097	0.000	Mean
		0.004	0.308	Std Dev

2012

(0,05 ≥ α)

(34) (0.305) (18) (0. 782)

()

TIMSS

(3)

.3

df	Prob	Chi Square	Item
9	0.052000	378.947	1
9	0.030000	84.257	2
9	0.000000	19.529	3
9	0.011022	27.821	4
9	0.060423	30.092	5
9	0.055405	30.207	6
9	0,000000	25,762	7
9	0,057000	165,311	8
9	0,083202	31,961	9
9	0,055000	66,753	10
9	0,070001	21,734	11
9	0,678323	6,604	12
9	0,072506	17,422	13
9	0,065011	39,592	14
9	0,068221	382,679	15
9	0,072510	34,067	16
9	0,070414	19,619	17
9	0,090402	54,220	18
9	0,820222	47,300	19
9	0,070011	16,432	20
9	0,011696	26,493	21
9	0,060015	38,310	22
9	0, 048860	23,653	23
9	0,080101	58,204	24

...

df	Prob	Chi Square	Item
9	0,080001	17,662	25
9	0,086266	20,279	26
9	0,054305	18,076	27
9	0,070000	26,918	28
9	0,000792	28,484	29
9	0,000143	32,831	30
9	0,000000	50,241	31
9	0,060409	30,176	32
9	0,064086	34,090	33
9	0,068474	15,925	34
9	0,016158	13,023	35

(0,05 ≥ α)

(3)

(25)

(0,05 ≥ α)

:

(5,1 34,33,32,28,27,26,25,24,22,20,19,18,17,16,15,14,13,12,11,10,9,8,6

(35 31 30 29 23 21 7 4 3 2)

(0,05 ≥ α)

(4) Point Biserial

.4

(POINT BISERIAL)

0,120	0,38	318	1
0,433	0,50	387	2
0,602	0,61	391	3
0,701	0,70	317	4

2012

0,643	0,68	325	5
0,459	0,55	393	6
0,621	0,58	349	7
0,257	0,58	407	8
0,646	0,36	300	9
0,556	0,54	308	10
0,670	0,41	323	11
0,623	0,56	332	12
0,664	0,53	351	13
0,581	0,31	360	14
0,184	0,45	46	15
0,700	0,34	329	16
0,594	0,39	341	17
0,760	0,78	300	18
0,570	0,44	298	19
0,650	0,64	259	20
0,641	0,70	399	21
0,599	0,36	365	22
0,662	0,31	292	23
0,708	0,54	320	24
0,678	0,55	269	25
0,644	0,61	332	26
0,604	0,58	357	27
0,599	0,62	345	28
0,562	0,60	393	29
0,586	0,54	318	30
0,696	0,51	275	31
0,676	0,30	346	32
0,499	0,52	352	33
0,591	0,30	302	34
0,618	0,37	328	35

(0,05 ≥ α)

(0,78-0,30)

"

...

"

:

.5

1,676	4,954	0,0	0	0	0
1,014	2, 28	0,0	0	0	1
0,728	2, 01	0,6	4	4	2
0,603	1,513	0,7	5	1	3
0,530	1, 50	1,2	8	3	4
0,482	1,581	3,1	21	13	5
0,447	1,551	7,2	48	27	6
0,421	1,782	10,6	71	23	7
0,399	1,581	19,6	131	60	8
0,383	2, 36	27,5	184	53	9
0,370	1, 643	35,5	238	54	10
0,360	1,611	41,9	281	43	11
0,351	1, 565	47,6	319	38	12
0,344	1, 634	52,2	350	31	13
0,338	2,311	53,3	357	7	14
0,333	1,454	54,6	366	9	15
0,329	2, 342	54,8	367	1	16
0,327	2,039	54,8	367	0	17
0,325	1, 682	54,9	368	1	18
0,324	2,441	55,1	369	1	19
0,324	1,747	55,2	370	1	20
0,324	1,706	55,4	371	1	21
0,326	2,464	55,5	372	1	22
0,328	2,419	55,5	372	0	23
0,331	1, 543	55,5	372	0	24
0,335	1,459	56,4	378	6	25
0,341	1, 313	59,0	395	17	26
0,347	1,389	61,0	409	14	27
0,355	1,020	66,9	448	39	28
0,364	1,230	72,2	484	36	29
0,376	1, 892	77,5	519	35	30
0,389	1,512	81,8	548	29	31
0,407	2,311	86,1	577	29	32
0,428	1,724	87,2	584	7	33
0,456	2,405	88,5	593	9	34
0,491	2,447	89,4	599	6	35

2012

$(0,05 \geq \alpha)$

:

(TIMSS)

.(Rumm, 2010)

()

(3)

(30,5) (18) (0,782)

(34)

(2005)

(18)

(Eyrec, et.al 2005)

(40)

(18)

...

(0,61-0,38)

(Gill , 2007)

() (5,4)
(95,0)

(Estimate)

(3,33-)

(39) (3,83) (2)

()

2012

	:	
	:	
		-
	(TIMSS)	-
	(TIMSS)	-
	(TIMSS)	-
	:	
	:	
	.(2005)	-1
(1) 32 _____		
_____ .(2000)		-2

	0(1987)	-3
:(1)27 _____		
		.44-18
_____ .(1996)		-4
:)

- Barbara, K. Orwig, Denise, Zimmerman, Sheryl, Hawkes, William. (2006). Retrieved 23/4/2011 from: Brain Injury.

...

- Bond TG, Fox CM. (2007). Applying the Rasch model - Fundamental measurement in the human sciences. 2nd ed. Mahwah, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Chang, K-Y. Tsou M-Y. Chan, K-H. Chang, S-H.Tai, J. J. Chen H-H. (2010). Item analysis for the written test of Taiwanese board certification examination in anaesthesiology using the Rasch model, British Journal of Anaesthesia, 104 (6): 717-2
- Crocker, L & Algina, J. (1986). Introduction to Classical & Modern Test Theory. New York: Holt, Pine hart & Winston.
- Edwards. H & alcock. L. (2010). Using Rasch analysis to identify uncharacteristic responses to undergraduate assessment, Teaching Mathematics and Its Applications, 29, 165- 175.
- Eyres, Sophie, (2005), Construct Validity and Reliability of the River Mead Post-Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire, Retrieved 20/6/2011 from: ebsco.html.
- Franchignoni, Franco, Ferriero, Giorgio, Giordano, Andrea, Sartorio, Francesco, Vercelli, Stefano, Brigatti, Elisa. (2011). Psychometric properties of QuickDASH - A classical test theory and Rasch analysis study, Manual Therapy, 16 (2011) 177-182.
- Hambleton, R, K. & Traub, R.E. (1973). Analysis of Empirical Data, N. Y.
- Hambleton R.K & Swaminathan. H. (1985). Item Response Theory Principles and Applications, Boston, Kluwer. Nijhoff Publishing.
- Hambleton R.K, & Jones, R.W. (1993). Comparison of Classical Test Theory and Item Response Theory and Their Applications to Test Development, NCME Items: The Instructional Topics in Educational Topics in Educational Measurement Series, Model 16.
- [http://nces. Ed. gov /timss/](http://nces.Ed.gov/timss/).
- Koh, Chia-Lin,Hsueh, I-Ping, Wang, Wen-Chung,Sheu, Ching-Fan,Yu, Tzu-Ying , Wang, Chun-Hou & Hsieh, Ching-Lin. (2006). Validation of the Action Research Arm Test Using Item Response Theory in Patients after Stroke, Retrieved 22/5/2011 from: Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine.
- Leonidas & Kyriakides, Kaloyirou, Chrystalla & Lindsay, Geoff. (2006). An Analysis of The Revised Olweus Bully/Victim Questionnaire Using The Rasch Measurement Model, Retrieved 30/4/2011 From: British Journal of Educational Psychology.
- Lord, F .M. (1971). Self Scoring of Flexi level Test, Journal of Educational

2012

Measurement, 8:147-151.

- Mislevy, R, j, & Bock, R, D, (1990). PC-Bilog: Item Analysis and Scoring With Binary, Logistic Model Mooresville, IN, Scientific Software.

- Pallant, Julie F & Tennant, Alan. (2007). An Introduction to The Rasch Measurement Model: An Example Using The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). Retrieved 30/8/2011 from: British Journal of Clinical Psychology.

- Peter, H & Broman, Jan-Erik. (2007), Measurement Properties and Hierarchical Item Structure of The Epworth Sleepiness Scale in Parkinson's disease, retrieved 28/8/2008 From: Journal of Sleep Research.

- Thorndike, R .L (1982). Applied Psychometrics, Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.

- Wilson, T.M & Mac Gillivray, H.L. (2007), Counting on the Basics: Mathematical Skills among Tertiary Entrants, Retrieved 15/10/2011 from International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science & Technology.

- Wolfe EW, Smith Jr EV.(2007). Instrument development tools and activities for measure validation using rasch models: part II - validation activities. Journal of Applied Measurement; 8:204- 34.

Worth.IL, Gill, Bhakta, Bipin, Eyres, Sophie, Carey, Amy Chamberlain M. Anne & Tennant, Alan. (2007). Development and Psychometric Testing of the Nurse-Work Instability Scale (Nurse-WIS), Retrieved 23/4/2011 from Journal of Advanced Nursing.